

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8415 of 1995

with

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2520 OF 1995

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

ANJUM A NAGORI

Versus

GUJARAT AGRI. UNIVERSITY

Appearance:

MR HS MUNSHAW for Petitioner
MR RV SAMPAT for Respondent No. 1, 4
SERVED for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 13/03/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

After some arguments on the main question about

the petitioner not having been given a hearing as alleged by him, it was submitted that the petitioner would make a fresh application to the respondent University with all documents in support of his say that the petitioner had not obtained the appointment fraudulently and that he was possessing a Diploma in Automobile Engineering, within two weeks from today. The learned Counsel for the respondent University submitted that if such application is made, it shall be placed before the Board of Management and a decision will be taken thereon within two months from the date on which the application is received. It is accordingly directed that on the petitioner's making an application to the respondent University, the same shall be considered by the Board of Management and a decision will be taken after giving adequate opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within two months after the application is received by the respondent University. If the Board of Management is satisfied on the basis of the material adduced before it, it can revise the earlier decision of terminating the services of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in the matter. However, if the Board of Management notices any offence to have been committed in the matter, then it shall issue appropriate directions for lodging a complaint in the matter.

In view of this arrangement, the learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition at this stage. Permission is granted. Rejected as withdrawn. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief granted in Civil Application No. 2520 of 1995 stands vacated. It is made clear that the petitioner will not be forced to vacate the residential quarters except in accordance with law.
